

REMARKS

1. The Office Action of December 5, 2007 is hereby acknowledged. The three-month time period for response to this Office Action expired on March 5, 2008. Concurrently with the filing of this Amendment, the Applicants have filed a Petition for three month extension of time and has paid the required fee of \$525.00. Therefore, the deadline for filing this Amendment is June 5, 2008. This Amendment is being mailed by Express Mail, Mail Label No. EM 238297782 US addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on June 3, 2008. Therefore, this Amendment is timely filed. In the event that the Commissioner for Patents should determine that any extension of time is required for this Response to be timely filed and an appropriate fee is due for that extension of time, then the Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account Number 18-2222 for such appropriate fee.

2. With respect to the restriction requirement, the Applicants reverse their traverse statement and make the election to be without traverse to be in compliance with the Examiner's directives. Since the Applicants did make the restriction requirement election in their Response to Restriction Requirement mailed on September 22, 2007, it is deemed that this matter has now been addressed.

3. With respect to the specification, the Applicants hereby submit a Substitute Specification. The Examiner's comments in Paragraph 3 of the Office Action have been incorporated into the corrected specification. Since a new specification is being submitted, it is believed that it is not necessary to file an amendment with line-outs and underlines since these corrections are simply made in a newly presented specification. The patent specification contains all of the material contained in the original patent specification but reformulated so that most of the portion which relates to the prior art is properly set forth and other portions which relate to the invention are set forth in the proper categories. No new matter has been added. To make it easier for the Examiner to understand what has been done, the following is a chart that lists the new specification versus the original specification as filed.

1 3.1 For the Field of the Invention, Section 0005 is included.

2 3.2 For the Description of the Prior Art, Section 0009 - 0107 and 0111 - 0151
3 are included. Also the recitation of the patents in Section 0004 is included.

4 3.3 The Summary of the Invention is taken from former Sections 0109 and
5 0110.

6 3.4 There are no drawings.

7 3.5 The Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment is taken from
8 Sections 006, 007, 0113, and 0152 and 0153 and then the Claims as originally filed since they
9 are considered part of the original specification and therefore incorporated into the patent
10 specification.

11 Therefore, the Examiner's directives concerning the specification have been complied
12 with.

13 2. The Examiner's very very detailed analysis of the '710 Application is
14 acknowledged with appreciation. The Applicants have cancelled the original 30 claims of
15 invention and have added 30 new claims of invention which mirror the original 30 claims of
16 invention but are correctly set forth in accordance with proper claim construction. The same
17 number of independent claims and the same number of dependent claims still remain in the case
18 and therefore, no additional filing fee is due.

19 3. The Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's claim rejections on page 6, Section
20 4 through Page 9, section 13. It is believed that with all the amendments, the Examiner's
21 objections have been overcome.

22 4. With respect to the Examiner's argument set forth on Page 9, section 14, through
23 Page 15, the Applicants incorporate by reference the supporting Declaration of Inventor Stanley
24 C. Antosh which accompanies this Amendment. The supporting Declaration includes
25 experiments to clearly show that the present invention does work and achieves the results that
26 the Examiner does not believe can be achieved.

27 5. Also, the Applicants have complied by filing a terminal disclaimer for each of the
28 co-pending applications by the inventor concurrently with the filing of this Amendment.

1 Therefore, the directives beginning on Page 16, Section 1 through Page 17, Section 18, have
2 been complied with.

3
4 6. Therefore, based upon the amendments set forth herein and based upon the
5 extensive arguments presented by Inventor Stanley C. Antosh, it is believed that the present
6 application is now in condition for allowance and issuance of a Notice of Allowance is
7 respectfully submitted.

8 Respectfully submitted,

9
10 Date: June 3, 2008

Thomas I. Rozsa
11 Thomas I. Rozsa
12 Registration No. 29,210

13 Customer No. 021907
14 Telephone (818) 783-0990
15 Facsimile (818) 783-0992

ROZSA LAW GROUP LC
18757 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 220
Tarzana, California 91356-3346

16
17 I hereby certify that this correspondence
18 is being deposited with the United States
19 Postal Service as Express Mail in an envelope
20 addressed to: Commissioner for Patents,
21 P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

22 Date of Deposit 6/3/08
23 Express Mail No. EM 238 2977820

24 THOMAS I. ROZSA
25 Registration No. 29,210

Thomas I. Rozsa 6/3/08
26 Signature and Date

27
28 methyl.amn.001